CARLTON FIELDS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE CASE BULLETIN EMOTIONAL DAMAGE AWARD IS NOT SUBJECT TO INCOME TAX

Murphy v. United States

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit recently changed a 10-year law on the taxation of damage awards and settlements. In 1996, Congress amended §104(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code to allow taxation of damages for emotional distress. Under that amendment, to be excluded from income, damage awards or settlements for "personal injuries or sickness" must arise from <u>physical</u> injuries or <u>physical</u> sickness (as opposed to non-physical, or emotional, damages). Thus, for the last ten years, damages for physical injuries were not taxable; damages for emotional injuries were. During that time, plaintiffs have been seeking larger damage and settlement amounts to compensate them for the tax consequences.

In <u>Murphy v. United States</u>, 98 AFTR 2d 2006-6088 (D.C. Cir. 2006), the court held the 1996 amendment unconstitutional. The plaintiff had sued her former employer for violation of various whistle-blower statutes, claiming that the employer had black-listed her and provided unfavorable references to potential employers after she complained to state authorities of environmental hazards on her employer's property. The Secretary of Labor found unlawful discrimination and retaliation and awarded Murphy \$45,000 for emotional distress and \$25,000 for injury to professional reputation. No part of the award was for lost wages or diminished earning capacity. The IRS taxed Murphy on the full compensatory award of \$70,000, and she sued for a refund.

The D.C. Circuit determined that §104(a)(2) is unconstitutional under the Sixteenth Amendment, which is Congress' authority to tax income. The court agreed that an award of damages for emotional injury and loss of reputation was not "income" within the meaning of the Sixteenth Amendment. The court found the damages were to make Murphy emotionally and reputationally "whole," and not to compensate her for lost wages or taxable earnings of any kind.

As a result, the IRS may no longer tax damage awards for emotional distress or injury to reputation even if they are unrelated to physical damages or lost wages or earnings. This decision changes the tax consequence that has applied during the last decade to awards and settlements in labor and employment cases.

For employment questions, please contact James R. Wiley at 813-229-4325 <u>jwiley@carltonfields.com</u> or Patricia H. Thompson at 305-539-7239 <u>pthompson@carltonfields.com</u>. For questions regarding the tax consequences of a settlement or damage award, please contact Ana C. Harris at 305-539-7423 <u>aharris@carltonfields.com</u> or visit <u>www.carltonfields.com</u>

This publication is not intended as, and does not represent legal advice and should not be relied upon to take the place of such advice. Since factual situations will vary, please feel free to contact a member of the firm for specific interpretation and advice, if you have a question regarding the impact of the information contained herein. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision that should not be based solely upon advertisements. Before you decide, ask us to send you free written information about our qualifications and experience.