

Real Property, Financial Services, & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending November 1, 2019

November 08, 2019

Real Property Update

• Foreclosure / Attorney's Fees: Attorneys' fee award must be supported by expert testimony from an attorney who is not involved in the underlying case – Salinas v. U.S. Bank Tr., N.A., No. 2D17-4902 (Fla. 2d DCA Nov. 1, 2019) (reversing fee award)

Title Insurance Update

- Title Policy / Reformation: Affirming reformation of lender's policy on the basis of mutual mistake
 to include exceptions for two senior deeds of trust where insured lender was aware of senior liens
 and agreed at the time to be in third position <u>Bapco LLC v. Fidelity Nat'l Title Ins. Co.</u>, No. 1 CACV 18-035 (Ariz. Ct. App. Oct. 29, 2019) (affirming summary judgment)
- Title Policy Coverage: Lender's policy did not cover loss caused by homeowners association lien recorded after policy issuance – Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fidelity Nat'l Title Ins. Co., No. 3:19-cv-00241 (D. Nev. Oct. 29, 2019) (granting dismissal)

Financial Services Update

- FCCPA / FDCPA: The plaintiff did not demonstrate a genuine issue of material fact that medical providers and their attorney violated the FCCPA and FDCPA by conditioning medical services on a guarantee of payment, unsuccessfully billing her insurers, sending balance statements, and enforcing the LOP through their attorney after her personal injury claims were settled because the plaintiff presented no evidence of an agreement between the defendants to violate the FCCPA, any direct communication by any of the defendants with her relating to debt collection, no harassing or abusive conduct by any defendant, or any false or misleading representations by the defendants Daley v. Bono, No. 8:18-cv-01465 (M.D. Fla. Oct. 28, 2019) (granting defendants' motion for summary judgment)
- FDCPA / Class Action / Predominance: The district court abused its discretion in deciding that common issues did not predominate the class's FDCPA claim because the legal question of whether the Bankruptcy Code precludes or displaces any remedy available under the FDCPA and FCCPA for a claim that a creditor engaged in false or deceptive conduct by trying to collect a debt in violation of a discharge injunction is common to all class members Sellers v. Rushmore Loan Mgmt. Servs., LLC, No. 18-11420 (11th Cir. Oct. 29, 2019) (vacating order denying class certification and remanding)
- FCRA / Standing: A consumer suffers a concrete Article III injury in fact when a third party obtains
 his or her credit report for a purpose not authorized by the FCRA. Further, a consumer need allege
 only that her credit report was obtained for a purpose not authorized by the FCRA to survive a
 motion to dismiss Nayab v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., No. 17-55944 (9th Cir. Oct. 31, 2019)
 (reversed and remanded)
- TCPA: The plaintiff failed to establish a TCPA claim when he offered no evidence to support his
 allegation that he revoked his consent to be called in a telephone conversation with a bank as it
 relates to a specific account Mendoza v. Allied Interstate LLC, No. 8:17-cv-00885 (C.D. Cal. Oct.
 22, 2019) (granting defendant's motion for summary judgment)
- TCPA / ATDS / Sufficiency of Allegations: The plaintiff did not adequately allege that the
 defendants used an automatic telephone dialing system to call his cell phone in violation of the
 TCPA because the plaintiff's complaint merely parroted the TCPA's statutory language Shcherb
 v. Angi Homeservices Inc., No. 1:19-cv-00367 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 25, 2019) (granting defendants' motion
 to dismiss).
- TILA: Federal class action settlement precluded mortgagor from asserting claims for breach of federal TILA, state unfair competition laws, state unfair and deceptive trade practices statutes, state consumer protection laws, and loan agreement, where the class action involved plaintiffs similarly situated as mortgagor, the settlement released and discharged all claims such as those mortgagor asserted, mortgagor did not opt out of the settlement class, and, in fact, the mortgagor received payment pursuant to the settlement Wachovia Mortg. FSB v. Macwhinnie, 175 A.D.3d 1587 (N.Y. App Div. 2019)

Related Practices

Consumer Finance
Real Property Litigation
Title Insurance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.