

New Amendments to the Southern District of Florida Local Rules

February 04, 2022

A few amendments to the Local Rules of the Southern District of Florida became effective on Dec. 1, 2021. For those keeping track—and that should include anyone who practices in federal court in South Florida—the set of amendments from this past cycle are less extensive than amendments from prior, recent cycles. But they are important to note:

- D. Fla. L.R. 7.8 is a new rule that addresses the filing of supplemental authorities. This rule was largely modeled after Fed. R. App. P. 28(j) and will hopefully bring order to a somewhat ad hoc process that has sometimes been questioned by the courts. See, e.g., *Barron v. Snyder's-Lance*, 2014 WL 2686060, at *2 (S.D. Fla. June 13, 2014) (striking a notice of supplemental authority that contained "a surfeit of legal arguments"); *Berkley Assurance v. Corredor, Husseini & Snedaker*, 2018 WL 4777571, at *3, n.1 (S.D. Fla. July 13, 2018) (noting that "it is procedurally improper to cite a two-year-old case as supplemental authority").
- Motions made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 2255 are not subject to the district's rule requiring a notice when a motion has not been addressed within 90 days after the motion is fully briefed.
- There has long been a rule in the district providing that (with certain exceptions), each party is only permitted to file one motion for summary judgment. Over the years, lawyers practicing before the court sometimes have unintentionally violated this rule. This is perhaps understandable as this is not a rule that appears in the local rules of most other federal courts (including the other two federal courts in Florida) and is also different from Florida state practice. Perhaps this misunderstanding could be because the rule appeared in the local rule section on "motions, general" (Rule 7.1) as opposed to "motions for summary judgment" (Rule 56.1). This is a rule that people usually run afoul of only once. Hopefully, the amendment will help cut down on unwitting violations.

- D. Fla. L.R. 26.1(e)(2)(B)(ii)(c) is a new rule that addresses what can be described as a "trade secret log." Litigators all know what a privilege log is. Lawyers often object to producing documents on the grounds that the requested information is a trade secret. There is now a process to produce a "log" when such an objection is made.
- Several of our local law schools, such as the University of Miami, St. Thomas and Nova
 Southeastern, have excellent programs where law students can gain practical experience in a
 clinical setting. The local rule is now amended to state that students in a bankruptcy clinical
 placement program can practice in bankruptcy court, under appropriate supervision, after at least
 two semesters of legal studies or the equivalent—instead of the four semesters that are required
 for other work. From a practical perspective, this will open up the opportunity for 2L law students.

And that is all for this year. The local rules are available at: https://www.flsd.uscourts.gov/local-rules-and-procedures.

If you don't regularly practice in the Southern District and you find yourself with a case in that court, it is a good idea to take a look at these rules.

Reprinted with permission from the February 4, 2022 edition of the *Daily Business Review* © 2022 ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved. Further duplication without permission is prohibited, contact 877-256-2472 or reprints@alm.com.

Authored By



Aaron S. Weiss

Related Practices

Litigation and Trials Creditors' Rights and Bankruptcy

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site

may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.