

Florida Appeals Court Decisions: Week of April 25 - 29, 2022

April 29, 2022

U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals

US v. Thomas - sentencing
US v. Clark - Brady, suppression, trial rulings
Johnson v. Fla - exhaustion, abstention
Diadju v. Vega - mootness, habeas, immigration

Florida Supreme Court - Tallahassee

Pittman v. State - capital case, postconviction relief

Dial v. Calusa Palms - Medicare benefits, evidence, past expenses

First District Court of Appeal - Tallahassee

DSK Grp v. Zurich - workers' compensation

Toyens v. State - statutory rape

Jackson v. State - habeas corpus, unauthorized

Second District Court of Appeal - Lakeland

Petro Welt v. Brinkmann - certiorari, corporate depositions, apex

Zetrouer v. State - drug possession Edmonds v. State - sentencing

AS v. State - adjudicatory hearing, Zoom, necessity

Addit v. Estate of Ingolia - arbitration, unconscionability, severability

Third District Court of Appeal - Miami

United Auto Ins v. Health Care Family Rehab - attorney's fees, findings

Chess v. Sweeney - fraud on the court, monetary sanctions

Milian v. State - postconviction relief

Ross-Williams v. Leali - receivership, scope, proceedings supplementary

Fourth District Court of Appeal - West Palm Beach

Lopez v. MGA Ins - pre- and post-judgment interest, waiver Sikich v. State - sentencing

Fifth District Court of Appeal - Daytona Beach

Harrell v. State - postconviction relief

Crenshaw v. State - Anders appeal, scrivener's error

Giddens v. State - Anders appeal, cost

Guardian Ad Litem Program v. Campbell - certiorari; custody transfer

LM v. DCF - dependency, sufficient evidence

Related Practices

Appellate & Trial Support

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.